



International Conference of Economics, Finance and Accounting Studies

International Conference of Economics, Finance and Accounting Studies is a double-blind peer-reviewed, open-access journal published to reach excellence on the scope. It considers scholarly, research-based articles on all aspects of economics, finance and accounting. As an international congress aimed at facilitating the global exchange of education theory, contributions from different educational systems and cultures are encouraged. It aims to provide a forum for all researchers, educators, educational policy-makers and planners to exchange invaluable ideas and resources.

Improving Tax Administration and Increasing Tax Collection Efficiency

Berdieva Uguloy Abduraxmanovna

Professor, Department of Taxation and Taxation Systems

Tashkent State University of Economics

E-mail: uguloy555@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Tax revenues constitute one of the principal sources of public finance and play a fundamental role in maintaining fiscal stability, financing public services, and supporting socioeconomic development. However, the effectiveness of taxation depends not only on tax rates and the structure of the tax system but also on the quality of tax administration. Even a well-designed tax system may generate insufficient public revenues if tax administration is characterized by complicated procedures, weak compliance mechanisms, inefficient information systems, limited analytical capacity, or inadequate interaction between tax authorities and taxpayers. Consequently, improving tax administration and increasing tax collection efficiency have become important priorities for countries seeking to strengthen fiscal sustainability and improve the business environment.[1]

Tax administration encompasses a broad range of institutional and operational activities, including taxpayer registration, tax reporting, tax assessment, payment administration, tax control, collection of tax arrears, taxpayer services, dispute resolution, and enforcement of tax legislation. The effectiveness of these functions directly affects the degree to which taxpayers comply with their legal obligations. A modern tax administration system should therefore pursue a dual objective: ensuring effective collection of legally due taxes while minimizing unnecessary administrative costs and compliance burdens for taxpayers.

The transformation of economic activity has further increased the importance of efficient tax administration. The expansion of digital commerce, electronic payments, online services, platform-based business models, and cross-border transactions has changed the structure and speed of economic activity. These developments provide tax authorities with opportunities to

obtain more timely information and automate administrative processes, but they also create challenges related to identifying taxable transactions, integrating databases, monitoring digital economic activities, and ensuring the accuracy and security of tax information. As a result, digital transformation has become one of the central instruments for improving tax collection efficiency.[2]

An important element of effective tax administration is voluntary tax compliance. When taxpayers clearly understand their obligations, have convenient mechanisms for fulfilling them, and perceive the tax system as transparent and fair, their willingness to comply voluntarily can increase. Conversely, complicated procedures, uncertainty, excessive administrative requirements, and weak taxpayer services can increase compliance costs and create incentives for informal economic activity. Therefore, tax administration should increasingly be regarded as a taxpayer-oriented public service rather than exclusively as an enforcement mechanism.[3]

Another important factor is the application of risk-based tax administration. Tax authorities operate under conditions of limited financial, technological, and human resources. Consequently, it is neither efficient nor necessary to apply the same level of control to every taxpayer. Risk-based systems allow tax authorities to identify taxpayers and transactions with higher probabilities of non-compliance and concentrate administrative resources accordingly. The use of data analytics, automated risk assessment, electronic invoices, payment information, and cross-database comparisons can substantially improve the identification of potential tax risks.

Tax arrears represent another major challenge to tax collection efficiency. Accumulated tax debt can reduce the predictability of budget revenues and increase the administrative costs associated with collection. At the same time, not all tax arrears have the same underlying causes. Some taxpayers may face temporary financial difficulties, while others may systematically avoid their tax obligations. Effective tax administration therefore requires differentiated approaches to tax debt management, combining preventive communication, early identification of payment difficulties, legally appropriate restructuring mechanisms, and targeted enforcement against deliberate non-compliance.[4]

METHODOLOGY

In the context of Uzbekistan, improving tax administration is particularly important given the country's ongoing economic modernization, digital transformation, development of entrepreneurship, and reforms aimed at reducing the shadow economy. The transition toward electronic tax services and greater use of digital information creates opportunities to improve transparency and simplify interaction between taxpayers and tax authorities. However, the effectiveness of these reforms depends on the integration of information systems, professional capacity of tax officials, accessibility of digital services, and continuous improvement of administrative procedures.

The objective of this study is to examine the principal mechanisms for improving tax administration and increasing tax collection efficiency. Particular attention is given to digitalization, risk-based tax control, taxpayer services, voluntary compliance, tax arrears management, information integration, administrative simplification, and professional development of tax authorities. The study argues that sustainable growth in tax revenues should be achieved not only through stronger enforcement but also through institutional modernization, improved taxpayer services, enhanced transparency, and the development of a more predictable and efficient tax administration system.[5]

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The analysis indicates that improving tax collection efficiency requires a comprehensive transformation of tax administration rather than the implementation of isolated administrative measures. The effectiveness of revenue collection is determined by the interaction of taxpayer

compliance, administrative procedures, digital infrastructure, risk management, institutional capacity, and enforcement mechanisms. Therefore, increasing tax revenues sustainably requires simultaneous improvement of both the administrative capacity of tax authorities and the conditions under which taxpayers fulfill their obligations.[6]

One of the most important findings is that simplification of tax administration can contribute directly to higher levels of voluntary compliance. Complex reporting procedures and excessive documentation requirements increase the time and financial resources required by taxpayers to comply with tax legislation. This burden can be particularly significant for small and medium-sized enterprises. Simplifying reporting forms, reducing duplicated information requirements, and increasing the use of pre-filled electronic declarations can reduce compliance costs. Lower compliance costs make formal economic activity more attractive and can therefore contribute indirectly to increasing the tax base.

Digitalization represents one of the most significant mechanisms for improving tax collection efficiency. Electronic tax reporting, digital taxpayer accounts, electronic invoices, online payment systems, and automated notifications can reduce administrative delays and minimize human errors. Digital systems also make it possible to process larger volumes of information in shorter periods of time. As a result, tax authorities can move from periodic and predominantly manual control toward continuous monitoring of economic activity.[7]

However, the analysis demonstrates that digitalization alone does not guarantee improved tax collection. The effectiveness of digital transformation depends on the quality of the underlying information, interoperability between databases, cybersecurity, system reliability, and the ability of taxpayers to use digital services. If different government information systems operate independently, tax authorities may not have a complete picture of a taxpayer's economic activity. Therefore, integration of tax, customs, business registration, financial, and other relevant databases is an important prerequisite for effective digital tax administration.

The results also emphasize the importance of risk-based tax control. Traditional universal inspection approaches may require substantial administrative resources while producing limited additional revenue. A risk-based approach enables tax authorities to identify taxpayers and transactions that demonstrate unusual or potentially non-compliant behavior. Indicators such as inconsistencies between sales and purchases, unusual changes in reported turnover, discrepancies between payroll and business activity, repeated tax arrears, or inconsistencies in electronic invoices can be incorporated into risk assessment models.[8]

Data analytics and automated risk assessment can significantly strengthen this approach. By analyzing large volumes of administrative information, tax authorities can identify patterns that would be difficult to detect through conventional inspection procedures. The use of analytical tools can also improve the prioritization of tax audits. Consequently, administrative resources can be concentrated on taxpayers and activities where the expected fiscal impact of intervention is greatest.

An important result concerns the relationship between tax collection and taxpayer services. Effective taxpayer service should be considered an instrument of revenue administration rather than merely an additional public function. Accessible explanations of tax rules, online consultations, automated reminders, and clear digital interfaces can reduce unintentional errors and encourage timely payments. In this sense, improving service quality can produce fiscal benefits by increasing the probability that taxpayers will comply voluntarily.

The analysis further indicates that taxpayer trust plays a significant role in tax compliance. Taxpayers are more likely to comply when they perceive tax administration as fair, predictable, transparent, and consistent. Conversely, inconsistent administrative decisions or unclear procedures may weaken confidence in the tax system. Therefore, increasing tax collection efficiency requires not only stronger enforcement but also improvements in institutional transparency and communication.

Tax arrears remain a major challenge to effective revenue collection. The results suggest that tax

debt management should be based on an assessment of the reasons for non-payment. Taxpayers experiencing temporary liquidity problems may require preventive communication and legally established payment arrangements, while deliberate and repeated non-compliance should be addressed through stronger enforcement measures. Differentiating these categories can improve the efficiency of collection efforts and prevent administrative resources from being spent indiscriminately.[9]

Another important finding is that the expansion of the tax base can be more sustainable than simply increasing tax pressure on existing compliant taxpayers. Improving taxpayer registration, identifying previously unreported economic activity, reducing informal transactions, and encouraging businesses to operate within the formal economy can increase revenues without necessarily increasing statutory tax rates. Digital payment systems and electronic invoicing can support this process by increasing the visibility of economic transactions.

The reduction of the shadow economy is therefore closely connected with tax administration reform. Excessive administrative burdens may encourage businesses to remain informal, while weak enforcement may reduce the perceived cost of non-compliance. An effective strategy should combine simplified taxation procedures with targeted risk-based enforcement. This balance can increase the benefits of formalization while simultaneously increasing the probability of detecting deliberate tax violations.

The professional capacity of tax authorities is another significant factor affecting collection efficiency. Modern tax administration requires employees who understand not only tax legislation but also data analytics, digital technologies, risk management, financial analysis, and contemporary business models. Continuous professional development is therefore necessary to ensure that tax officials can effectively use modern information systems and analytical tools.

The results also indicate that performance measurement should be expanded beyond the absolute amount of tax revenue collected. Although revenue remains a fundamental indicator, it does not fully reflect administrative efficiency. Additional indicators should include the cost of tax collection, average compliance time, percentage of electronic transactions, taxpayer satisfaction, effectiveness of risk-based audits, recovery rate of tax arrears, and the proportion of taxpayers using digital services. Such indicators provide a broader assessment of whether administrative reforms are actually improving the tax system.

An integrated model for improving tax collection efficiency can therefore be proposed. The first component is **administrative simplification**, which reduces unnecessary compliance costs. The second is **digital transformation**, which automates services and improves information availability. The third is **risk-based control**, which directs enforcement resources toward high-risk taxpayers. The fourth is **taxpayer-oriented service**, which strengthens voluntary compliance. The fifth is **effective tax debt management**, which improves the recovery of outstanding obligations. The sixth is **institutional and professional development**, which ensures that tax authorities possess the capacity to operate modern systems effectively.[10]

CONCLUSION

The interaction of these components produces a cumulative effect. For example, digitalization improves access to taxpayer information; integrated information improves risk assessment; improved risk assessment makes audits more targeted; targeted audits reduce unnecessary administrative pressure on compliant businesses; and reduced administrative pressure can strengthen taxpayer trust and voluntary compliance. Thus, tax administration reform should be viewed as an interconnected institutional system rather than as a collection of separate technological or regulatory measures.

For Uzbekistan, these findings suggest that the continued development of electronic tax services should be combined with stronger analytical capabilities, improved interagency information exchange, taxpayer education, and differentiated approaches to tax debt. Special attention should

also be given to small and medium-sized businesses because reducing their administrative burden can support formalization and broaden the tax base.

Overall, the results demonstrate that increasing tax collection efficiency does not necessarily require increasing tax rates or intensifying universal control. More sustainable results can be achieved by improving the quality of administration, expanding the tax base, increasing voluntary compliance, reducing compliance costs, applying data-driven risk management, and strengthening taxpayer services. The transition from a predominantly enforcement-oriented model toward a **digital, risk-based, taxpayer-oriented, and analytically driven tax administration system** can improve both fiscal outcomes and the quality of relations between the state and taxpayers.

REFERENCES

- [1] Republic of Uzbekistan, “Tax Code of the Republic of Uzbekistan,” No. ZRU-599, Dec. 30, 2019, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.
- [2] President of the Republic of Uzbekistan, “Decree No. PF-5468 ‘On the Concept of Improving Tax Policy of the Republic of Uzbekistan,’” Jul. 29, 2018, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.
- [3] President of the Republic of Uzbekistan, “Decree No. PF-60 ‘On the Development Strategy of New Uzbekistan for 2022–2026,’” Jan. 28, 2022, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.
- [4] President of the Republic of Uzbekistan, “Decree No. PF-158 ‘On the Uzbekistan–2030 Strategy,’” Sep. 11, 2023, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.
- [5] OECD, *Tax Administration 2024: Comparative Information on OECD and Other Advanced and Emerging Economies*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2024, 227 p., doi: 10.1787/2d5fba9c-en.
- [6] OECD, *Tax Administration 3.0: The Digital Transformation of Tax Administration*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2020, 148 p., doi: 10.1787/ca274cc5-en.
- [7] OECD, *Tax Administration Digitalisation and Digital Transformation Initiatives*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2025, 49 p., doi: 10.1787/c076d776-en.
- [8] International Monetary Fund, *Revenue Administration: Reforms in the Tax Administration*. Washington, DC, USA: International Monetary Fund, 2015.
- [9] International Monetary Fund, *Tax Administration: A Guide for Developing Countries*. Washington, DC, USA: International Monetary Fund, 2017.
- [10] World Bank, *Doing Business 2020: Comparing Business Regulation in 190 Economies*. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank, 2020, 134 p.
- [11] World Bank, *Uzbekistan: Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability Assessment*. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank, 2022.
- [12] S. James and C. Alley, “Tax compliance, self-assessment and tax administration,” *Journal of Finance and Management in Public Services*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 27–42, 2004.
- [13] V. Braithwaite, Ed., *Taxing Democracy: Understanding Tax Avoidance and Tax Evasion*. Aldershot, U.K.: Ashgate Publishing, 2003, 274 p.
- [14] J. Alm, “Measuring, explaining, and controlling tax evasion: Lessons from theory, experiments, and field studies,” *International Tax and Public Finance*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 54–77, 2012.
- [15] E. Kirchler, E. Hoelzl, and I. Wahl, “Enforced versus voluntary tax compliance: The ‘slippery slope’ framework,” *Journal of Economic Psychology*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 210–225, 2008.