International Conference on
Linguistics, Literature And Translation

International Conference on Linguistics, Literature And Translation - double-blind peer-reviewed, monthly, open-access procedding published to get ecxellence on Research and Development. It publishes high-quality articles across the breadth of linguistics, literature and translation research. With its uniquely broad coverage, all articles are free to access across the globe, allowing authors to share their research and maximise its impact. The boletin on Linguistics, Literature And Translation is led by expert international editorial board members who take an objective approach to peer review, ensuring each research paper is reviewed and evaluated on its own scholarly merits and research integrity. Joining your work in the International Conference of Linguistics, Literature, and Translation means you will receive prompt and reliable publishing and a global platform for your research to reach its full potential.

THE QUESTION-ANSWER RELATIONSHIP (QAR) STRATEGY: ENHANCING READING COMPREHENSION

Mardanova Zilola Fatxullo qizi
UzSWLU, English language Integrated Course N1 Department

Introduction. Reading comprehension is a critical skill necessary for academic success and lifelong learning. However, many students struggle with understanding and interpreting texts effectively. The Question-Answer Relationship (QAR) strategy, developed by Raphael (1986), provides a structured approach to helping students recognize different types of questions and where to find their answers. By categorizing questions based on their source—either within the text or from prior knowledge—QAR empowers students to engage with reading materials more effectively. This article explores the QAR strategy, its benefits, and its practical applications in educational settings.

Understanding the QAR Strategy. The QAR strategy divides questions into four categories: Right There, Think and Search, Author and Me, and On My Own. Each category helps students understand the relationship between questions and answers, promoting deeper comprehension.

1. **Right There Questions**: These questions have answers explicitly stated in the text. Readers can locate them directly by looking at specific words or sentences. For example, in a history textbook, a question like "What year did the American Revolution begin?" has a straightforward answer found in the text (Raphael, 1986).

2. **Think and Search Questions**: These require readers to gather information from different parts of the text. The answer is not in one sentence but can be pieced together from multiple sections. For example, a question such as "What were the causes of World War I?" requires synthesizing information from various paragraphs (Duke & Pearson, 2002).

3. **Author and Me Questions**: These require students to use both textual evidence and their own experiences or prior knowledge to answer. For instance, a literature question like "Why do you think the main character made this decision?" requires interpretation and inference (Raphael & Au, 2005).

4. **On My Own Questions**: These questions rely solely on the reader's prior knowledge and do not require information from the text. An example would be "How would you handle a conflict with a friend?" While related to themes in a story, the answer is based on personal experience (Pearson & Johnson, 1978).

Benefits of the QAR Strategy. The QAR strategy offers multiple benefits for students, particularly in improving reading comprehension and critical thinking skills.

1. **Enhances Textual Understanding**: By classifying questions, students learn to approach texts strategically. They can differentiate between surface-level details and deeper meanings (Raphael & Au, 2005).

2. **Promotes Active Reading**: Instead of passively reading, students engage with texts by predicting, questioning, and connecting ideas. This active approach improves retention and comprehension (Duke & Pearson, 2002).

3. **Develops Inferential Thinking**: Since some QAR categories require synthesis and inference, students enhance their analytical abilities, which are essential for academic success (McKeown, Beck, & Blake, 2009).

4. **Improves Test-Taking Skills**: Standardized tests often require students to answer different types of questions. By practicing QAR, students become more adept at recognizing question formats and locating answers efficiently (Raphael, 1986).

5. **Encourages Metacognition**: The strategy fosters awareness of one's thinking process, helping students self-monitor their comprehension and develop independent reading skills (Pressley & Afflerbach, 1995).

Implementing QAR in the Classroom. Teachers can implement QAR through various instructional strategies to enhance student engagement and comprehension.

1. **Modeling and Guided Practice**: Educators should introduce QAR by modeling each question type using sample texts. They can then guide students through practice exercises before assigning independent work (Raphael, 1986).

2. **Collaborative Learning**: Group activities, such as peer discussions and collaborative question-generation exercises, allow students to practice distinguishing question types and locating answers together (McKeown et al., 2009).

3. **Graphic Organizers**: Visual aids, such as QAR charts, help students categorize questions effectively. These tools serve as references for analyzing new texts (Duke & Pearson, 2002).

4. **Cross-Disciplinary Applications**: QAR is not limited to language arts. Teachers can use it in science, history, and other subjects to help students analyze content and respond to questions with greater accuracy (Pearson & Johnson, 1978).

5. **Technology Integration**: Digital tools and interactive reading apps can support QAR implementation, providing students with engaging, adaptive learning experiences (Anderson & Krathwohl, 2001).

Conclusion. The Question-Answer Relationship (QAR) strategy is a powerful tool for enhancing reading comprehension and critical thinking. By helping students recognize the different types of questions and where to find their answers, QAR fosters deeper engagement with texts and strengthens analytical skills. Educators who implement QAR effectively can equip students with the necessary skills to become confident and competent readers.

References

1. Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. Longman.
2. Duke, N. K., & Pearson, P. D. (2002). Effective practices for developing reading comprehension. *Journal of Education*, 189(1), 107-122.
3. McKeown, M. G., Beck, I. L., & Blake, R. G. K. (2009). Rethinking reading comprehension instruction: A comparison of two approaches. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 44(3), 218-253.

4. Pearson, P. D., & Johnson, D. D. (1978). *Teaching reading comprehension*. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
5. Pressley, M., & Afflerbach, P. (1995). *Verbal protocols of reading: The nature of constructively responsive reading*. Routledge.
6. Raphael, T. E. (1986). Teaching question-answer relationships, revisited. *The Reading Teacher*, 39(6), 516-522.
7. Raphael, T. E., & Au, K. H. (2005). Enhancing comprehension and test-taking across grades and content areas. *The Reading Teacher*, 59(1), 36-44.